

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/517,812

Applicant(s)

BREVIK ET AL

Examiner

DEBORAH D. CARR

Art Unit

1621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DEBORAH D. CARR.(3) Kirsten Johnson.(2) Adrianna Burg.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 32-35, 36, 42, 43, 45-48, 50 and 59-64.

Identification of prior art discussed: art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Arguments will be submitted to reiterate that the instant pharmaceutical compositions are different from those taught in the prior art and that the dosage amounts are enabled.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/s/Deborah D Carr/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621